## CROSS-BORDER PRIVACY RULES SYSTEM JOINT OVERSIGHT PANEL

RECOMMENDATON REPORT ON CONTINUED APEC RECOGNITION OF THE KOREA INTERNET AND SECURITY AGENCY (KISA)

Submitted to: Vunnaporn Devahastin

Chair, Digital Economy Steering Group

April 8, 2021

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	1
Scope of Consultation Process	1
Recommendation of the Joint Oversight Panel	3
Request for Consensus Determination	4
Enforceability	5
Recognition Criteria	5
Conflicts of Interest (Recognition Criteria 1-3)	5
Program Requirements (Recognition Criterion 4)	7
Certification Process (Recognition Criterion 5)	7
On-going Monitoring and Compliance Review Processes (Recognition Criteria 6, 7)	8
Re-Certification and Annual Attestation (Recognition Criterion 8)	9
Dispute Resolution Process (Recognition Criteria 9, 10)	9
Mechanism for Enforcing Program Requirements (Recognition Criteria 11-15)	10
Case Notes & Statistics	11
Signature	12

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

On June 7, 2017, the Republic of Korea formally commenced participation in the Cross Border Privacy Rules (hereinafter 'CBPR') System. Pursuant to Paragraph 5 of the Protocols of the Joint Oversight Panel, the Republic of Korea was then eligible to nominate one or more Accountability Agents for APEC recognition.

In December 2017, the Joint Oversight Panel (hereinafter 'JOP') received an application from Korea's Ministry of the Interior and Safety (hereinafter MOIS) and the Korea Communications Commission (hereinafter KCC) nominating the Korea Internet & Security Agency (hereinafter KISA) as an APEC Accountability Agent for the CBPR System. A revised application was submitted on July 17, 2019. On December 14, 2019, KISA received endorsement for APEC recognition as an Accountability Agent for the CBPR System.

Pursuant to Paragraph 36 of the APEC Cross Border Privacy Rules System Policies, Rules and Guidelines (herein 'Policies, Rules and Guidelines'), the first APEC recognition is limited to one year from the date of recognition and for two years thereafter, one month prior to which, an Accountability Agent may re-apply for APEC recognition, following the same process as the original request for recognition. Paragraph 36 also stipulates that during this time the Accountability Agent's recognition will continue. On December 6, 2020, the JOP received an application from KISA requesting re-certification as an APEC Accountability Agent for a subsequent two-year period. After having reviewed the application, the JOP found that KISA continues to meet the requirements to serve as an Accountability Agent in the Republic of Korea. KISA's continued recognition as an Accountability Agent will be valid for two years from the date of endorsement

## SCOPE OF CONSULTATION PROCESS

Pursuant to Paragraph 7.2 of the *Charter of the Joint Oversight Panel*, members of the JOP<sup>1</sup> began a consultative process with representatives from KISA to:

- Confirm the enforceability of an organization's CBPR obligations once certified as CBPR compliant by KISA;
- Confirm KISA's location and the relevant enforcement authority;
- Confirm that KISA meets the recognition criteria as identified in the *Accountability Agent Application for Recognition*;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Members of the JOP are: Shannon Coe, Department of Commerce, United States; Nobuyuki Matsumoto, Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, Japan; and Evelyn Goh, Infocomm Media Development Authority, Singapore.

- Confirm KISA makes use of program requirements that meet the baseline established in the CBPR System; and
- Confirm KISA has provided the necessary signature and contact information.

The following Recommendation Report was drafted by members of the JOP.

## RECOMMENDATION OF THE JOINT OVERSIGHT PANEL

Having verified that the Republic of Korea is a participant in the APEC Cross Border Privacy Rules (CBPR) System and has demonstrated the enforceability of the CBPR program requirements pursuant to the information provided in Annex B of the Republic of Korea's Notice of Intent to Participate;

Having verified that KISA is in the Republic of Korea and is subject to the oversight and jurisdiction of the relevant enforcement authority;

Having verified with the Administrators of the APEC Cross Border Privacy Enforcement Arrangement (CPEA) that Korea's Personal Information Protection Commission (PIPC) is a participant in the APEC CPEA;

Having determined, in the opinion of the members of the Joint Oversight Panel, that KISA has policies in place that meet the established recognition criteria and makes use of program requirements that meet those established in the CBPR System; and

Having verified KISA has provided the required signature and contact information;

The JOP recommends APEC Member Economies consider the conditions established in 7.2 (ii) of the Charter of the Joint Oversight Panel to have been met by KISA and to grant the Republic of Korea's request for APEC continued recognition of KISA to certify organizations within the Republic of Korea and under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT) and PIPC as compliant with the CBPR System pursuant to the established guidelines governing the operation of the CBPR System.

## **Submitted by the Joint Oversight Panel:**

Shannon Coe Chair, Joint Oversight Panel U.S. Department of Commerce, United States

Evelyn Goh Member, Joint Oversight Panel Infocomm Media Development Authority, Singapore

Nobuyuki Matsumoto Member, Joint Oversight Panel Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, Japan

## REQUEST FOR CONSENSUS DETERMINATION

APEC Member Economies are asked to make a determination as to the Republic of Korea's request for continued recognition of KISA as an Accountability Agent, taking into account the JOP's recommendation. Any APEC Member Economy has the right to reject the request of an applicant Accountability Agent for recognition for failure to meet any of the recognition criteria required in the *APEC Accountability Agent Recognition Application*. When making this determination, any APEC Member Economy may request additional information or clarification from the Republic of Korea or the JOP. If no objection is received within the deadline for consensus determination as established by the DESG Chair, the request will be considered to be approved by the DESG. Should Member Economies determine that KISA has met the necessary criteria for continued recognition, APEC recognition will be limited to two years from the date of recognition, one month prior to which, KISA may re-apply for APEC recognition if it so wishes, following the same process described herein.

#### I. ENFORCEABILITY

Is the Applicant subject to the jurisdiction of the relevant enforcement authority in a CBPR participating Economy?

## Recommendation

The JOP is satisfied that KISA is subject to oversight and enforcement with respect to its certification activities in accordance with the CBPR System requirements.

#### **Discussion**

KISA is a special legal entity established under The Republic of Korean law<sup>2</sup> to perform business affairs related to personal information protection and operate the domestic personal data protection certification system. The JOP has confirmed that KISA is subject to oversight by both MSIT and the PIPC. Pursuant to Article 14 of the Regulation on Delegation and Entrustment of Administrative Authorities, KISA would be performing its duties as an Accountability Agent pursuant to the delegated authorities of MSIT and the PIPC. KISA would therefore be subject to the regulatory supervision of its delegated duties and the executive power of these ministries. The JOP has further confirmed that MSIT and the PIPC direct and supervise KISA, may give instructions or order KISA to take measures regarding the conduct of its duties as an Accountability Agent, and that they may cancel or suspend KISA's certification activities if KISA's conduct is deemed to be illegal or unfair.

#### II. RECOGNITION CRITERIA

The Accountability Agent Application for Recognition requires applicants to describe how each of the 15 Accountability Agent Recognition Criteria have been met using the Accountability Agent Recognition Criteria Checklist. Following is an overview of each listed requirement and recommendation of the sufficiency of each based on the information submitted to the JOP by the Republic of Korea.

## **Conflicts of Interest (Recognition Criteria 1-3)**

- 1. Applicant Accountability Agent should describe how requirements 1(a) and (b) in Annex A of the Accountability Agent Application for APEC Recognition have been met and submit all applicable written policies and documentation.
- 2. Applicant Accountability Agent should submit an overview of the internal structural and procedural safeguards to address any of the potential or actual conflicts of interest identified in 2(b) of Annex A of the Accountability Agent Application for APEC Recognition.
- 3. Applicant Accountability Agent should describe the disclosure/withdrawal mechanisms to be used in the event of any actual conflict of interest identified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> KISA is: 1) a special legal entity established according to paragraph 1 of Article 52 of the Act on Promotion of Information and Communications Network Utilization and Information Protection, Etc., and 2) a public institution according to Article 4 of the Act on the Management of Public Institutions.

#### Recommendation

The JOP is satisfied that KISA meets Recognition Criteria 1-3.

#### Discussion

The JOP has confirmed that as a special legal entity established under the Republic of Korea's laws to perform duties in the public interest, KISA is obligated to perform its certification activities fairly according to the Republic of Korea's laws. Furthermore, KISA operates using government funds, and KISA can perform certification activities without any interest in certain institutions or business operators.

Pursuant to the Act on the Management of Public Institutions, KISA is legally obligated to make efforts to reinforce ethical management as a public institution, and MSIT and PIPC are obligated to seek investigation or audit of KISA executives if they are suspected of being involved in corruption or believed to have hindered ethical management. As a public institution, KISA is further subject to the Improper Solicitation and Graft Act, which obligates KISA employees to perform their duties fairly and disinterestedly without being affected by private interests, violation of which is punishable by imprisonment or fines.

In addition, the JOP has confirmed that KISA's Code of Conduct requires employees to report potential conflicts of interest to management, who then can reassign the duties of the employee if it is deemed that a conflict would hinder his or her fair performance of duties. Conflicts related to a KISA employee's duties required to be reported include having a familial relationship to an employee or outside director of an organization seeking certification or having worked for an organization in the past two years that is seeking certification. KISA's Code of Conduct prohibits employees from engaging in profit-making activities related to their duties at KISA, including providing paid consulting services related to their duties.

The JOP has further confirmed that KISA has written policies to ensure that a certification assessor, the personnel who performs a certification assessment, and the CBPR certification committee are free from potential or actual conflicts of interest. KISA's Rules on APEC CBPRs certification operation (hereinafter "CBPRs Operating Rules") mandate that certification assessors who participated in consulting for an applicant's CBPR certification or the applicant's employees should be excluded from the certification assessment team. The CBPRs Operating Rules further exclude certification committee members and assessors from being involved in a certification assessment if the member or assessor has a direct stake in the matter, are related to anyone involved in the matter, or in the event that they were involved in the matter before they were appointed. KISA may exclude any committee member or assessor if they cannot guarantee the independence, objectivity, fairness and reliability of a certification.

The JOP has confirmed that KISA will publish the certification standards for applicant and participant organizations, and at least once a year, KISA will submit a certification report to the PIPC, which includes information on new applicants, the number of audits performed, and information on dispute resolution. The JOP has confirmed that as required in criterion 3, KISA will disclose to the JOP conflicts of interest that result in a withdrawal or affiliations that might be on their face be considered a conflict of interest but did not result in a withdrawal.

## **Program Requirements (Recognition Criterion 4)**

Applicant Accountability Agent should indicate whether it intends to use the relevant template documentation developed by APEC or make use of Annex C of the Accountability Agent Application for APEC Recognition to map its existing intake procedures program requirements.

### Recommendation

The JOP is satisfied that KISA meets Recognition Criterion 4.

#### Discussion

In consultation with the JOP, KISA has used Annex C of the Accountability Agent APEC Recognition Application to map the existing program requirements for its domestic privacy certification system, Personal Information and Information Security Management System (ISMS-P), to the established CBPR program requirements. The JOP has confirmed that KISA will verify that an applicant for CBPR certification meets the ISMS-P program requirements and the CBPR Assessment Criteria set forth in Annex C.

## **Certification Process (Recognition Criterion 5)**

Applicant Accountability Agent should submit a description of how the requirements as identified in 5(a) – (e) of Annex A of the Accountability Agent Application for APEC Recognition have been met.

## Recommendation

The JOP is satisfied that KISA meets Recognition Criterion 5.

#### Discussion

The JOP has confirmed that KISA has a comprehensive process to review whether an applicant organization meets the CBPR program requirements, with the following procedures:

- 1. **Preparation and Application -** The certification applicant submits a self-assessment of whether CBPR program requirements are met, and KISA conducts a preliminary inspection thereof and enters into a contract with the applicant regarding the certification assessment;
- 2. **Certification Assessment -** KISA reviews the applicant's self-assessment, including through written and on-site assessment, and requests the correction or supplementation of program requirements if defects are found, assesses whether defects have been corrected and issues a findings report;
- 3. **Deliberation and Issuance -** Certification committee deliberates on the findings report and when it makes a decision, KISA issues the certification and provides the relevant details of

the certification to be posted on cbprs.org.; and

4. **Compliance Review and Re-Certification -** KISA may request relevant details from a participant for the compliance review in case of serious data breach or other case of noncompliance, and KISA goes through the same process for the re-certification.

## On-going Monitoring and Compliance Review Processes (Recognition Criteria 6, 7)

Applicant Accountability Agent should submit a description of the written procedures to ensure the integrity of the certification process and to monitor the participant's compliance with the program requirements described in 5 (a)-(d) in the Accountability Agent Application for APEC Recognition.

Applicant Accountability Agent should describe the review process to be used in the event of a suspected breach of the program requirements described in 5(a)-(d) in the Accountability Agent Application for APEC Recognition.

#### Recommendation

The JOP is satisfied that KISA meets Recognition Criteria 6, 7.

### Discussion

The JOP has confirmed that KISA has internal procedures to ensure the integrity of its certification process and to monitor a participant's compliance with program requirements.

Pursuant to Article 20 of the CBPRs Operating Rules, KISA can may request information from a certified company if there has been a "serious infringement accident", which is determined taking into account relative objective elements such as the number of persons related, the sensitiveness of the information and possible harm, or if someone has issued a complaint that falls within the scope of the participant organization's certification. KISA will conduct a review, and if KISA finds any defects or instances of non-compliance with the certification requirements, it will request the participant organization to correct the non-compliance or KISA may cancel the certification.

Articles 19 and 23 of the CBPRs Operating Rules provides that KISA may suspend a certification assessment or cancel a certification under certain circumstances, such as where an applicant fails to demonstrate compliance with program requirements, fails to take corrective measures within 30 days of being notified of noncompliance, or if a certification was acquired by false or illegal means. If KISA ceases an assessment or cancels a certification, it must notify the applicant or participant the reason for the cancellation.

Applicant Accountability Agent should describe their re-certification and review process as identified in 8 (a)-(d) in the Accountability Agent Application for APEC Recognition.

#### Recommendation

The JOP is satisfied that KISA meets Recognition Criterion 8.

## **Discussion**

The JOP has confirmed that pursuant to Article 22 of the CBPRs Operating Procedures, KISA requires an annual re-certification which requires the participant organization to apply for recertification by 3 months before the expiration of the term of the certification. KISA will undertake the assessment process in its entirety as outlined in response to criterion 5 above.

## **Dispute Resolution Process (Recognition Criteria 9, 10)**

Applicant Accountability Agent should describe the mechanism to receive and investigate complaints and describe the mechanism for cooperation with other APEC recognized Accountability Agents that may be used when appropriate.

Applicant Accountability Agent should describe how the dispute resolution process meets the requirements identified in 10(a) - (h) of Annex A, whether supplied directly by itself or by a third party under contract (and identify the third party supplier of such services if applicable and how it meets the conflict of interest requirements identified in sections 1-3 of Annex A) as well as its process to submit the required information in Annexes D and E.

## Recommendation

The JOP is satisfied that KISA meets Recognition Criteria 9, 10.

#### Discussion

The JOP has confirmed that KISA has a mechanism to receive CBPR-related complaints from anyone if he/she finds any non-compliance of a certified company, and that KISA will require participant organizations to publish on their website the procedures for submitting complaints. Pursuant to Article 25 of the CBPRs Operating Rules, upon receipt of a complaint, KISA will review whether it falls within the scope of CBPR compliance of a participant organization, and if so, will notify the matter to the complainant in writing and investigate the facts. The participant company shall review and respond to the complaint within 30 days or ask for an extension period of up to 30 days. Based on the result of the investigation, KISA may request the certified organization to take corrective measures regarding the inadequacies, and if it fails to do so, KISA may cancel the participant organization's certification. KISA will notify the result of the handling of the complaint to the complainant and the participating organization. KISA will obtain the

consent of the individual if it needs to provide personal information to a third party as a part of the complaint handling process. Finally, KISA will make public statistical data on the handling of these complaints and issue case notes in anonymized form on a selection of resolved complaints on an annual basis.

Pursuant to Article 26 of the CBPRs Operating Rules, KISA cooperates with law-enforcement authorities of APEC member economies and accountability agents for handling complaints or cooperation in law enforcement. The JOP has confirmed that KISA will publish on its website the contact points of the relevant government bodies.

## Mechanism for Enforcing Program Requirements (Recognition Criteria 11-15)

Applicant Accountability Agent should provide an explanation of its authority to enforce its program requirements against participants.

Applicant Accountability Agent should describe the policies and procedures for notifying a participant of non-compliance with Applicant's program requirements and provide a description of the processes in place to ensure the participant remedy the non-compliance.

Applicant Accountability Agent should describe the policies and procedures to impose any of the penalties identified in 13 (a) – (e) of Annex A.

Applicant Accountability Agent should describe its policies and procedures for referring matters to the appropriate public authority or enforcement agency for review and possible law enforcement action. [NOTE: immediate notification of violations may be appropriate in some instances].

Applicant Accountability Agent should describe its policies and procedures to respond to requests from enforcement entities in APEC Economies where possible.

## Recommendation

The JOP is satisfied that KISA meets Recognition Criteria 11-15.

## **Discussion**

The JOP has confirmed that KISA enforces the program requirements through imposing rules on participant organizations which include KISA's authority to suspend or cancel a certification if certification criteria are not met by an applicant or participant organization. Pursuant to Article 19 of the CBPRs Operating Rules, KISA may suspend an assessment of an applicant organization if the applicant organization delays or interferes with the certification assessment or if the applicant organization fails to meet the program requirements. Pursuant to Article 23, KISA may cancel a certification if the participant organization obtains the certification through fraudulent methods or information, or if the participant organization does not take corrective measures in response to a

complaint or finding of noncompliance with program requirements. If KISA cancels certification, it will remove the certification from the website and disclose the facts regarding the cancellation.

As discussed in response to criterion 7, KISA may notify a participant organization of noncompliance with the program requirements and cancel a certification if a participant organization fails to correct the noncompliance within 30 days pursuant to the CBPRs Operating Rules. Pursuant to Article 24, a participant organization company may appeal the cancellation of certification, and KISA may reconsider and notify the organization in writing the results of its review. The JOP has confirmed that KISA will disclose on its website the company name and other relevant information related to the non-compliance. In addition, KISA can notify PIPC of a violation of the Personal Information Protection Act.

KISA will cooperate with overseas law enforcement authorities of APEC member economies and Accountability Agents to handle complaints or cooperation in law enforcement pursuant to Article 26 of the CBPRs Operating Rules.

#### III. CASE NOTES AND STATISTICS

Will the Applicant provide relevant information on case notes and statistics as outlined in Annexes D and E of the Accountability Agent Application for APEC Recognition?

#### Recommendation

The JOP is satisfied that KISA meets the Case Notes and Statistics requirements as stipulated in Annexes D and E of the *Accountability Agent Application for APEC Recognition*.

### **Discussion**

The Accountability Agent Recognition Criteria 10 (g) & (h) require Accountability Agents to have a process for making publicly available statistics on the types of complaints and the outcomes of such complaints (see Annex E), and a process for releasing, in anonymized form, case notes on a selection of resolved complaints illustrating typical or significant interpretations and notable outcomes (see Annex D). The JOP has confirmed that in the first year, KISA has not yet certified any companies, and therefore has not submitted any case notes and statistics. The JOP has confirmed that once KISA certifies companies, KISA will make publicly available information on the number of complaints and outcomes of such complaints and release case notes on a selection of important complaints. KISA has agreed to make use of the templates in Annexes D and E of the *Accountability Agent Application for APEC Recognition* to annually send this information to APEC member Economies as a condition of their recognition.

## SIGNATURE AND CONTACT INFORMATION

By signing this document, the signing party agrees to the findings of the Joint

Oversight Panel contained herein and attests to the truth of the information provided to the Joint Oversight Panel pursuant to the Application for APEC Recognition.
[Signature of person who has authority to commit party to the agreement]
[Typed name]:
[Date]:
[Typed title]:
[Typed name of organization]:
[Address of organization]:
[Email address]:
[Telephone number]:

The first APEC recognition is limited to one year from the date of recognition and for two years thereafter. Each year one month prior to the anniversary of the date of recognition, the Accountability Agent must resubmit this form and any associated documentation to the appropriate government agency or public authority or as soon as practicable in the event of a material change (e.g. ownership, structure, policies).

NOTE: <u>Failure to comply with any of the requirements outlined in this document may result in appropriate sanctions under applicable domestic law.</u>